Structure of a Second Polymorph of [Al(CH₃)]₂[14]aneN₄[Al(CH₃)₃]₂

BY MARK F. SELF, WILLIAM T. PENNINGTON* AND GREGORY H. ROBINSON* Department of Chemistry, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634-1905, USA

(Received 7 September 1990; accepted 19 November 1990)

Abstract. Octamethyl-1 κ^3 ,2 κ ,3 κ^3 ,4 κ -{ μ_4 -[1,4,8,11tetraazacyclotetradecanato $(4 -)-1:2\kappa^2 N;2:4\kappa^2 N^4;2: 4\kappa^2 N^{11}$;3: $4\kappa^2 N^8$]}tetraaluminium, $[Al(CH_3)]_2$ - $[C_{10}H_{20}N_4][Al(CH_3)_3]_2, M_r = 424.58, triclinic, P_1, a$ = 7.978 (2), b = 9.428 (2), c = 9.627 (2) Å, $\alpha =$ 75.46 (2), $\beta = 67.86$ (2), $\gamma = 73.84$ (2)°, V = 635.4 (2) Å³, Z = 1, $D_x = 1.11$ g cm⁻³, λ (Mo $K\alpha$) = 0.71073 Å, $\mu = 1.88$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 232, T = 204 (1) V, B = 0.0214 for 1.577 294 (1) K, R = 0.0314 for 1537 observed reflections. The molecule possesses crystallographic inversion symmetry with a planar, four-membered Al₂N₂ ring occupying the central cavity of the macrocycle. The molecular conformation is essentially identical to that of the monoclinic form; however, the packing of the molecules in the crystal is quite different.

Experimental. Data quality crystals of (1) were produced by reaction of one equivalent of a 2:1 adduct (Robinson, Pennington, Lee, Self & Hrncir, 1991) of trimethylaluminium and 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane ([14]aneN₄), with two additional equivalents



of trimethylaluminium at room temperature in chlorobenzene solution, followed by recrystallization from a cooled (263 K) methylene chloride/chlorobenzene solvent system. Colorless, parallelepiped crystal, dimensions $0.40 \times 0.50 \times 0.65$ mm. Nicolet R3mVdiffractometer, $\omega/2\theta$ scans of 2-15° min⁻¹, $2\theta_{max} =$ $45^{\circ}, h = -9$ to 0, k = -11 to 11, l = -11 to 11, 1823measurements, 1678 unique ($R_{int} = 0.015$), 1537 observed $[I > 3\sigma(I)].$ Unit-cell dimensions determined by least-squares fit to settings for 33 reflections ($15 < 2\theta < 30^{\circ}$). Empirical absorption correction, transmission factors: 0.98-1.00; three standards monitored (±1%), 13.2 h of X-ray exposure. Solved by direct methods; full-matrix least-squares refinement on F, R = 0.0314, wR = 0.0497, S = 1.74,

0108-2701/91/061309-02\$03.00

Table 1.	Atomic coordinates $(\times 10^4)$ and equiva	lent			
isotropic	thermal parameters $(Å^2 \times 10^3)$	for			
$[Al(CH_3)]_2[C_{10}H_{20}N_4][Al(CH_3)_3]_2$					

	x	у	Z	U_{ea}^*
Al(1)	- 184 (1)	1128 (1)	746 (1)	33 (1)
Al(2)	- 3750 (1)	3005 (1)	3077 (1)	45 (1)
N(1)	513 (2)	935 (2)	- 1377 (2)	34 (1)
N(2)	- 2773 (2)	1913 (2)	1263 (2)	36 (1)
C(1)	- 546 (3)	2144 (2)	- 2266 (2)	47 (1)
C(2)	- 2615 (3)	2436 (3)	-1513(2)	49 (L)
C(3)	- 3313 (3)	3000 (2)	-13(2)	47 (1)
C(4)	- 3659 (3)	585 (2)	1666 (3)	43 (1)
C(5)	- 2522 (3)	- 798 (2)	2302 (2)	44 (1)
C(6)	1474 (3)	1866 (3)	1287 (3)	57 (1)
C(7)	- 2824 (4)	1619 (3)	4685 (3)	71 (1)
C(8)	- 2742 (4)	4852 (3)	2346 (3)	70 (1)
C(9)	- 6472 (3)	3418 (3)	3634 (3)	62 (1)

* Equivalent isotropic U defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor.

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.01, 171$ variables including positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for the non-H atoms, positional and isotropic thermal parameters for the methylene and one set [atom C(9)] of methyl H atoms and an isotropic group thermal parameter $[U = 0.095 (4) \text{ Å}^2]$ for disordered sets of half-H atoms included at optimized (staggered) positions [d(C-H) = 0.96 Å] for the remaining three methyl groups [atoms C(6), C(7) and C(8)]. Function minimized was $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$, where $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.0005|F_o|^2]$. Final difference Fourier peaks ranged from -0.17 to 0.19 e Å⁻³. Computer programs from SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1985), scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV, Table 2.2B), real and imaginary anomalousdispersion corrections from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV, Table 2.3.1). Final atomic coordinates are given in Table 1,† and selected distances and angles in Table 2; the molecule is displayed in Fig. 1.

© 1991 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} To whom correspondence may be addressed.

[†] Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H-atom parameters, as well as a summary of crystallographic details and figures comparing conformation and packing of the two forms have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 53766 (12 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHI 2HU, England.

Table	2.	Bond	distances	(Å)	and	angles	(°)	for
$[Al(CH_3)]_2[C_{10}H_{20}N_4][Al(CH_3)_3]_2$								

Al(1)N(1)	1.948 (2)	Al(1)N(2)	1.903 (2)
Al(1) - C(6)	1.929 (3)	Al(1) - N(1a)	1.947 (2)
Al(2) - N(2)	2.037 (2)	Al(2) - C(7)	1.990 (3)
Al(2) - C(8)	1.978 (3)	Al(2) - C(9)	1.978 (3)
N(1) - C(1)	1.505 (3)	N(1) - C(5a)	1.498(2)
$N(2) \rightarrow C(3)$	1.505 (3)	N(2) - C(4)	1.504(3)
C(1) - C(2)	1.507 (3)	C(2) - C(3)	1.515 (3)
C(4) - C(5)	1.502 (3)	-(-) -(-)	
N(1) - Al(1) - N(2)	102.3 (1)	N(1) - Al(1) - C(6)	117.0 (1)
N(2) - Al(1) - C(6)	124·1 (1)	N(1) - Al(1) - N(1a)) 90·3 (1)
N(2) - Al(1) - N(1a)	92.8 (1)	C(6) - Al(1) - N(1a)	123.0 (1)
N(2) - Al(2) - C(7)	106.3 (1)	N(2) - Al(2) - C(8)	106.0 (1)
C(7) - Al(2) - C(8)	112.8 (1)	N(2) - Al(2) - C(9)	105.1 (1)
C(7) - Al(2) - C(9)	113·3 (l)	C(8) - A(2) - C(9)	112.7 (1)
$A\dot{I}(1) - N(1) - C(1)$	114.5 (1)	A(1) - N(1) - A(1)	(1) 89.7 (1)
C(1) - N(1) - Al(1a)	124.5 (2)	Al(1) - N(1) - C(3a)	116.9 (1)
C(1) - N(1) - C(5a)	107.1 (1)	Al(1a) - N(1) - C(5a)	a) $103.9(1)$
$A\dot{I}(1) \rightarrow N(2) \rightarrow A\dot{I}(2)$	111.8 (1)	AI(1) - N(2) - C(3)	113.3 (1)
AI(2) - N(2) - C(3)	106.9 (1)	Al(1) - N(2) - C(4)	105.8 (1)
Al(2) - N(2) - C(4)	109.5 (1)	C(3) - N(2) - C(4)	109.6 (2)
N(1) - C(1) - C(2)	114.8 (1)	$C(1) \rightarrow C(2) \rightarrow C(3)$	115.2(2)
N(2) - C(3) - C(2)	115.5 (2)	N(2) - C(4) - C(5)	111.3(2)
C(4) - C(5) - N(1a)	110.8 (1)		
., .,,	(-)		

Related literature. The triclinic form of the title compound represents the second polymorph of a product resulting from reaction of trimethylaluminium with [14]aneN₄. Reaction of one equivalent of [14]aneN₄ with four equivalents of trimethylaluminium, carried out at 393 K in toluene, gives monoclinic crystals of (1) (Robinson, Rae, Campana & Byram, 1987). In addition, an isomorphous gallium derivative (Lee, Pennington, Robinson & Rogers, 1990) and a mixed aluminium/ gallium derivative, $[Me_3Ga]_2[14]aneN_4[MeAl]_2$ (Robinson, Pennington, Lee, Self & Hrncir, 1990),



Fig. 1. Thermal ellipsoid plot (35% probability) of the molecule; H atoms are omitted.

which is isomorphous with the monoclinic form, have also been isolated.

We are grateful to the National Science Foundation for support of this work to GHR (RII-8520554).

References

- Lee, B., PENNINGTON, W. T., ROBINSON, G. H. & ROGERS, R. D. (1990). J. Organomet. Chem. 396, 269–278.
- ROBINSON, G. H., PENNINGTON, W. T., LEE, B., SELF, M. F. & HRNCIR, D. C. (1991). *Inorg. Chem.* 30. In the press.
- ROBINSON, G. H., RAE, A. D., CAMPANA, C. F. & BYRAM, S. K. (1987). Organometallics, 8, 1227–1230.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1985). SHELXTL Users Manual, revision 5.1. Nicolet XRD Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1991). C47, 1310–1312

Structure of 1,2,2,2,3,3,3,4,4,4-Decacarbonyl-1,2:3,4-di-µ-hydrido-1-tricyclohexylphosphine-3-triisopropylphosphineplatinumtriosmium(2*Pt*-*Os*)(3*Os*-*Os*)

By Louis J. Farrugia

Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, Scotland

(Received 22 August 1990; accepted 17 December 1990)

Abstract. $[Os_3Pt(\mu-H)_2(CO)_{10}\{P(C_6H_{11})_3\}\{P(i-C_3H_{7})_3\}], M_r = 1488 \cdot 5, \text{ monoclinic, } P2_1/c, a = 10 \cdot 678 (2), b = 15 \cdot 924 (4), c = 27 \cdot 237 (5) \text{ Å}, \beta = 100 \cdot 92 (2)^\circ, V = 4547 (2) \text{ Å}^3, Z = 4, D_x = 2 \cdot 17 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, \lambda(\text{Mo } K\alpha) = 0 \cdot 71069 \text{ Å}, \mu = 115 \cdot 85 \text{ cm}^{-1}, F(000) = 2776, T = 298 \text{ K}, R = 0 \cdot 035 \text{ for } 3227 \text{ unique observed reflections. The metal skeleton is of the butterfly form, with a non-bonding}$

Pt···Os(3) distance of 3.837 (1) Å, and a butterfly angle, defined by the torsion angle Pt-Os(1)-Os(2)-Os(3), of 98.2 (1)°. The pseudo-octahedral ligand sphere on Os(3) is skewed out of the Os₃ plane by *ca* 11.5°.

Experimental. Pale yellow prisms from dichloromethane/hexane solution: crystal dimensions $ca \ 0.4$

0108-2701/91/061310-03\$03.00

© 1991 International Union of Crystallography